

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/066,048	01/31/2002	John P. Brostom	M-11948 US	3924
75	590 12/20/2002			
Mark E. Schmidt			EXAMINER	
SKJERVEN MORRILL MacPHERSON LLP Suite 700			CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO	
25 Metro Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95110-1349			2835	
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
. Office Action Summary		10/066,048	BROSTOM, JOHN P.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Boris L. Chervinsky	2835			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Exten after S - If the - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL AALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repolar within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI e. cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  4S from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	December 2002 .				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes					
а	) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome:	rovisional application has be	en received.			
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	fummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2835

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harshberger et al. in view of Bolton et al.

Harshberger et al. disclose a panel mountable electronic device 22 comprising a housing 110, 112, 114, 120 with a heat sink 109 (col. 5, lines 13-17), the housing including a flange 110 with a through hole, a tab 180 rotates of about 90 degrees to clamp a portion of a panel between the tab and the flange, the tab is received in the recess. Harshberger discloses the claimed invention except the threaded hole in the tab and a screw being engaged with the thread hole in the tab. Bolton discloses the screw being engaged with the threaded hole in the tab. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have the screw as disclosed by Bolton instead of a locking assembly as disclosed by Harshberger. Harshberger discloses the claimed invention except for materials such as metal or plastic. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. Harshberger discloses

Art Unit: 2835

the claimed invention except specifying the device being an optical transceiver and optical fiber connectors. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the arrangement for an optical transceiver since it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

The method steps of claims 11-18 are necessitated by the device structure as disclosed by Harshberger et al. in view of Bolton et al.

It also must be noted that the claimed structure having rotational locking device engaged with a recess in an adjacent panel is widely known and used in many domestic applications. Details drawn to a notch in the housing to slidably engage an edge of a board are well known in the art as shown in the prior art cited in PTO 892 Form is not used at this time and these details not considered to be demonstrated as critical therefore obvious.

## Response to Arguments

In response to Applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the Examiner recognizes that references cannot be arbitrarily combined and that there must be some reason why one skilled in the art would be motivated to make the proposed combination of primary and secondary references. In re Nomiya, 184 USPQ 607 (CCPA 1975). However, there is no requirement that a motivation to make the modification be expressly articulated. The test for combining references is what the

Art Unit: 2835

combination of disclosures taken as a whole would suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art. In re McLaughlin, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). References are evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosures. In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA) 1969. In this case, the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art suggests that applicant's claims are generally related to a simple latch mechanism having a screw as a pivoting element for the latch instead of a lock assembly, as disclosed in the prior art cited above, is well known and widely used in numerous domestic and industrial situations. In order to support examiner's position additional prior art disclosing several latching mechanisms using a screw as a pivoting

#### Conclusion

element is cited in PTO 892 form attached to this office action.

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2835

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 703-308-5429. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 703-308-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-5115. Boris L. Clarkinson

December 17, 2002